	Case 2:20-cv-01011-TLN-JDP Docume	nt 16 Filed 09/16/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARCUS A. HAINES,	Case No. 2:20-cv-01011-TLN-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	v.	THAT THIS ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE, FAILURE TO
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,	COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS, AND FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
15	Defendants.	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS
16		DATS
17	On April 2, 2021, I screened plaintiff's first amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
18	§ 1915A. ECF No. 14. I notified plaintiff that the complaint failed to state a claim and granted	
19	him sixty days to file an amended complaint. <i>Id</i> . Plaintiff failed to timely file an amended	
20	complaint. Accordingly, on August 16, 2021, I ordered him to show cause within twenty-one	
21	days why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim.	
22	ECF No. 15. I notified him that if he wished to continue with this lawsuit, he must file an	
23	amended complaint. I also warned him that failure to comply with the August 16 order would	
24	result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. 1 <i>Id</i> .	
25		
26	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the August 16, 2021 order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
27		
28		1

Case 2:20-cv-01011-TLN-JDP Document 16 Filed 09/16/21 Page 2 of 2

1	The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint nor	
2	otherwise responded to the August 16, 2021 order. Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED	
3	that:	
4	1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court orders,	
5	and failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the April 2, 2021 order. See ECF No. 14.	
6	2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case.	
7	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
8	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
9	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
10	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
11	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
12	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
13	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
14	appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez	
15	v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17		
18 19	Dated: September 16, 2021 JEREMY D. PETERSON	
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		